

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/759,183 01/20/2004		01/20/2004	Mie Matsuo	04173.0440	7695	
22852	7590	07/21/2006		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAI LLP	N, HEND	DERSON, FARAB	SANDVIK, BENJAMIN P			
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413				2826	·	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/759,183	MATSUO, MIE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ben P. Sandvik	2826	

	Ben P. Sandvik	2826					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must get date of the final rejection. SUPERVISION Action, or (2) the date set forth atter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 cust be filed within one CATEV EXAMENTED TO THE COMPLETE OF THE COM	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following INER An inchever is later. In ion.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropring the final Offi te of the final rejection, of the final rejection rejection, of the final rejection rejection rejection, of the final rejection re	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS	had asia to the date of files a baief						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a content of the conten	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			.==				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	: lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2 and 4-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		I be entered and an e	explanation of				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarily.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the daims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered buseline Continuation Sheet. 	,		nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments directed toward the combination of the Mashino and Mikawa references are not persuasive. The combination results in the semiconductor element formation layer 202 of Mashino having ferroelectric memories, including diffusion barrier patterns, formed thereupon. The through plug 217 is "partly surrounded" by the layer 202, thus in the Mashino/Mikawa combination the through plug will be "partly surrounded" by the diffusion barrier pattern.

EVAN PERT
PRIMARY EXAMINER